



Strategic Planning Face to Face Meeting VCDE Progress Report Philadelphia, PA – Fox Chase Cancer Center November 8-9, 2004

Outline of Presentation:

- ▶ **1. Purpose of Workspace**
- ▶ **2. Year 1 Goals**
- ▶ **3. Accomplishments**
- ▶ **4. SWOT Analysis**
- ▶ **5. Discussion**

1. Purpose of VCDE Workspace

▶ Role:

- responsible for the evaluation and development of software and tools to support the integration and implementation of common data elements (CDEs) and controlled vocabulary content**
- responsible for the identification and evaluation of data standards and data quality indicators**
- coordinate with Architecture to address compatibility of infrastructure and promote fundamental vocabulary and data standards**

▶ Developers:

- Hawaii, Jackson Labs, Mayo**

▶ Adopters:

- None originally or currently**

▶ Working Group Members:

- Albert Einstein, Fred Hutch, Pittsburgh, UC Davis**

2. Year 1 Goals (Current Projects)

- ▶ **Plan for governance of new vocabulary and common data element content**
- ▶ **Establish V-CDE Mentoring Teams for domain workspace pilot projects**
- ▶ **Recommendation of external data standards**
- ▶ **Convene regular Face to Face Meetings of the V-CDE Workspace and joint meetings with the Architecture Workspace to address caBIG cross cutting issues**
 - **First F2F October 27 & 28th in Chicago**
 - **To be detailed in section 4 of this summary**
- ▶ **Implement Vocabulary/CDE Workspace developer projects**
 - **To be discussed next (section 3)**

3. Accomplishments

Assignment of Development Task Orders (2 of 3)

▶ Hawaii:

– Nutritional ontology for epidemiology studies

- **Work with NCICB EVS group**
- **Publish internal dietary databases as caBIG vocabulary CDEs in caDSR**
- **Harmonize w/ other databases (USDA, AgOrgUN)**

▶ Jackson Labs:

– Mouse Ontology

- **Mapping and harmonization of anatomic descriptors used for murine and human models**
- **caBIGify Mouse Phenome Database, the Mouse Tumor Biology database and the Mouse Genome Informatics consortium database (MGI)**

3. Accomplishments

Assignment of Development Task Orders (3 of 3)

► Mayo:

- LexGRID – distributed network of terminology resources**
 - Bridge terminologies and ontologies with a common set of tools, formats and update mechanisms**
 - Standards - access methods and formats published and openly available**
 - Tools - standards based tools readily available**
 - Content - commonly used terminologies available for access and download**
 - Accessible through a set of common application protocol interfaces (API), it is web accessible and locally extendable**

3. Accomplishments

Development of “Mentoring” Teams

► Role of Mentoring Teams:

- provide support and guidance to the various Domain Workspaces in the development, administration and utilization of CDEs
 - whether UML model-driven or case report form-driven, integrate via controlled vocabulary
 - priority, is the support required by the developer project teams
- Architecture – Fred Hutch (caGRID), Mayo (caGRID),
- Clinical Trials – Mayo (Protocol/Billing/Interfaces/Compatibility/Metadata), UC-Davis (AER/Billing/CDUS/Metadata)
- ICR – Albert Einstein (Pathways), Hawaii (Data Analy & Stats/caArray/Proteomics), Fred Hutch (Translation Tools/Gene Annotation),
- Tissue Banks & Pathology Tools – U Pitt

3. Accomplishments

Progress Report & Action Items – Data Standards Arch/VCDE F2F Meeting Chicago 10/27-28

► Discussion Items:

- general agreement to caBIG data standards governance process with minor modifications based on feedback**
- V-CDE will consider procedures for notification of caBIG members regarding changes to data standards**

► Action Items:

- Kathleen Gundry will provide V-CDE workspace with advanced copies of data standards under consideration by the NCI Context Administrators as possible template for data standards submission package**
- V-CDE to develop detailed process for review, approval, and maintenance of data standards.**

3. Accomplishments

Progress Report & Action Items – HL7

Arch/VCDE F2F Meeting Chicago 10/27-28

► Discussion Items:

- HL7 does not satisfy all data messaging requirements for caBIG, but may apply to clinical data messaging**
- HL7 data types may be applicable outside of the clinical domain**
- HL7 V3 is not in wide use and V2 will need to be supported, but Workspace developers should be encouraged to consider V3 because of semantic richness**
- Final decisions on applicability of HL7 requires further study**

► Action Items:

- HL7 v3 messaging use cases need to be defined by Clinical Trials, TB& PT and ICR workspaces**

3. Accomplishments

Progress Report & Action Items – Metadata Arch/VCDE F2F Meeting Chicago 10/27-28

► Discussion Items:

- Metadata should be a separate service provided by any node on the Grid.**
- Metadata will be represented in a multi-tier fashion.**
- The first tier will be an XML schema describing the structure of the data object.**
- The next tier will contain the data object's metadata from the caDSR. The proposed representation is an XML schema. We propose conducting a review in the next 2-3 weeks to determine if XML schema is sufficient.**
- The final tier will contain the semantic information represented in OWL/OWL-S.**

► Action Items:

- A minimal set of metadata needs to be identified for data and analytical service providers. The caGRID phase I white paper suggests a starting point that can be used to devise these lists**

3. Accomplishments (optional depends on Architecture report)

Progress Report & Action Items – Provenance

Arch/VCDE F2F Meeting Chicago 10/27-28

► Discussion Items:

- caBIG should adopt a hierarchical provenance model**
- provenance information should be attached to any persistent data store**
- the data store is only required to provide provenance information to its immediate source of information, optional to go back further or original source**
- the provider determines the type of information that constitutes its provenance - should be sufficient to find the source information and understand the transformation that was performed on the data**
- Analytical services should provide provenance information as the data passes through workflows**

► Action Items:

- A single group should be formed to work on ID, versioning and provenance.**

4. SWOT Analysis-Strengths + Weaknesses—NOTE: MJB Opinion

► Strengths:

- Strongest part of NCICB “portfolio” of applications and toolkits appears to be EVS
- Many skilled parties in NCICB, contractors in this area
- Strong commitment (resources) evident
- Strong team in VCDE space
- Mentoring teams is a very valuable addition (need to execute)

► Weaknesses:

- caDSR is still not deployed fully (yet)
- Training has been complicated and not fully thought through
- Old CDEs in caDSR are “forms based” and little object model level software deployed in caDSR
- No adopters apparent yet (key weakness, need to balance)
- Not enough emphasis on clinical and translation needs apparent at F2F meeting of Arch/VCDE
- Not enough decisions (but much healthy discussion)

4. SWOT Analysis - Opportunities & Threats—NOTE: MJB Opinion

► Opportunities:

- Strengthen resources supporting caDSR
- Publicize early ‘heroes’ who do end to end integration
- Couple developers with adopters with domain experience
NOW
- Champion mentoring teams but to be productive with caDSR and CDE curation **ASAP**
- Funding growth of caBIG is phenomenal and on track (50% increase for next year)

► Threats:

- Cancer Centers program still skeptical with need to see results
- Training program has left the gate slowly (new team in place)
- Architecture moving too slowly could significantly hinder caBIG effort
- Culture of “for profit” software development vs academic mindset
- ICR space will consume significant VCDE resources
 - Is VCDE appropriately resourced?

5. Discussion

Outline of Presentation:

- ▶ **1. Purpose of Workspace**
- ▶ **2. Year 1 Goals**
- ▶ **3. Accomplishments**
- ▶ **4. SWOT Analysis**